ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT LEARNING COMMITTEE MINUTES

DATE: October 21, 2022 TIME: 1:30 pm LOCATION: ZOOM

ATTENDANCE: Darcey Ferrell, Regan Blaha, Daniel Gomez, Lyndsie Scott Guzek, John Labriola, John Lantz, MaryJean McIntosh, Michael Lawson, Rustem Mulyuk, Natalia Omelchenko-Comer, Dave Stoffel, Tessa Weisenborn, Dr. Angela Hawk

GUEST PRESENTER: Brandy Kileen, Welding Program Director

EXCUSED: Jennifer Lantz, Pat Roper

AGENDA ITEMS	DISCUSSION	ACTIVITIES / ASSIGNMENTS
Administrative Updates	Dr. Hawk discussed and requested feedback on Northern's Assessment Guidebook.	Assessment Committee gave positive feedback, appreciated the clarity of the plan, and approved of the Guidebook.
	Status of administrative assistant position – Effort to fill position has been made and interviews conducted, unfortunately the process is stalled due to candidate selected did not accept position, and continuing search for another suitable candidate.	
	Dr. Hawk responded to Daniel's request at last meeting regarding automatic population of WEAVE templates for each course. Dr. Hawk explained that from a processing standpoint it is difficult to filter out any empty templates from those that are completed. We need to improve on designating the status of documents appropriately – Not Started – Completed – Submitted for Review. At this time Dr. Hawk is completing course report templates for only those courses known to be assessed in each semester per program assessment plans. Individual requests can be made for additional templates if needed.	
Presentation of Welding Program Assessment Plan	Brandy Kileen presented her assessment plan for the Welding Program and described her methods of tracking progress on outcomes. She shared her	Darcey Ferrell and/or Dr. Hawk to provide committee members with copies of Brandy's assessment plan.
	program assessment plan in WEAVE. Dr. Omelchenko-Comer and MaryJean McIntosh requested that copies of the Welding Program	

		1
	Assessment Plan be used as examples for learning	
	purposes. MaryJean inquired about levels of	
	achievement. Her area uses novice to expert and	
	inquired about how Brandy's assessment levels	
	translate to her program's lower-level courses. Brandy	
	uses terms related to welding which include	
	discontinuity, moderate, and defects. These are very	
	similar to nursing, but with welding specific	
	terminology.	
Discussion of sub-committees of the	Darcey began discussion of the subcommittee	
Assessment of Student Learning Committee	structure moving forward.	
	Co-Curricular Assessment Committee	
	Assessment Academy/General Education Committee	
	Course and Program Assessment Committee	
	Assessment Committee members will participate on	
	these committees along with other faculty and staff	
	and report-out to the overall Assessment of Student	
	Learning Committee.	
	Dr. Hawk shared document from the assessment	
	guidebook and explained the need to make sure these	
	committees are working in tandem with each other	
	and that processes make their way up through the	
	structure of the College. She clarified overall working	
	process of assessment up through the levels of the	
	Institutional Assessment Committee and President's	
	Continuous Improvement Council.	
	Dr. Omelchenko-Comer inquired about shared	
	membership among the committees and two-year	
	participation on committees. Anyone on the	
	Assessment of Student Learning Committee will	
	participate in a subcommittee. In addition, other	
	faculty and staff will be recruited to participate on	Darcey and/or Dr. Hawk will communicate with
	subcommittees. Dr. Hawk, Lyndsie Scott – Guzek and	potential members of the Co-Curricular and Course
	Dave Stoffel discussed the 2-year commitment and 4-	and Program Assessment Committee by email to
	year role of the Assessment Academy.	settle on membership.
	year fore of the Assessment Adducting.	secce on memoership.

Assessment Academy Update	Lyndsie Scot-Guzek shared an update. Email was sent to faculty requesting assessment activities from their courses to assist in mapping of General Education Courses to General Education Outcomes. This is in preparation for developing of common rubrics. Building common rubrics will be the focus of the upcoming Assessment Academy Retreat on 10/28/22. A focus group has been selected to participate in the retreat. This work will be followed with solicitation of feedback from faculty.	All encouraged to submit related activities/assignments related to Lyndsie Scott- Guzek
Review Dr. Hawk's report of Spring 2022 Review of Fall, 2021 Assessment Reports 2022	Darcey shared the recommendations with the committee and requested that members be thinking about changes to the peer review process. This will likely be a first task of the Course and Program Assessment Committee.	
Fall 2022 Peer Review of Fall, 2022 Assessment Reports	Darcey explained that there are 54 completed assessment reports from Spring, 2022. Some individuals/groups completed more than one assessment. The number/percentage of reports peer reviewed will be organized in a way to ensure that each person/group has at least one report reviewed. An email invitation to participate in the review was sent to all faculty.	Darcey will be sending email to all peer review teams with their assigned assessment reports.
	Daniel Gomez asked a question for clarification on the purpose of collecting activities/assignments from faculty for the development of rubrics. Lyndsie and Dr. Hawk explained that they are not looking for the perfect assignment but looking for what activities/assignments relate to the General Education Outcomes. Dr. Omelchenko-Comer asked Lyndsie for clarification on the type of information needed for activity/assignment examples.	
NEXT MEETING	November 18 ^{th,} 2022 at 1:30pm	

Respectfully Submitted by: Darcey Ferrell, Chair